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| --- |
| **Brandywine Creek Greenway (BCG) 2024-25 Mini‐Grant Program (Round 5) Scoring Form** |
| **Applicant Name:**  | **Reviewer Name:**  |
| **REVIEW and SCORING CRITERION** | **POINTS** |
| **Part 1: APPLICANT INFO (NOT SCORED)**  |
| **Part 2: PROJECT DETAILS: DESCRIPTION, GREEN PRACTICES, SCOPE OF WORK, TIMELINE, PARTNERS, INTEGRATION (Total Section 3-25 points)**  |
| ***2A., 2B., 2C., 2D. Project Description, Green Practices, Scope of Work, and Timeline: Does project have the capacity and capability to be successful and to complete all described activities within the grant timeline? Are green practices incorporated?*** *(15 points)* |
| **1 to 5** | **6 to 10** | **11 to 15** |  |
| Project is not well-planned, and no green practices incorporated | Projects is adequate, can be successful, and green practices incorporated | Project is well planned and will be successful, green practices are well planned and incorporated |  |
| ***2E. Partners: Does project involve collaboration with other partners: municipalities, non‐profits, watershed groups, agencies, businesses and/or health organizations, etc.?*** *(5 points)* |
| **1**  | **3** | **5** |  |
| Project has no/few partners or collaborations established | Project has some involvement of partners but roles not well defined and evidence of commitment is weak | Project has strong, committed partners and collaborations with well-defined roles and involvement |  |
| ***2F. Integration: Is project consistent with state and/or local planning priorities?*** *(5 points)* |
| **1**  | **3** | **5** |  |
| No/little evidence of integration with BCG, local, county or state plan/assessment | Integration with BCG, local, county or state plan/assessment exists but not well developed, documented or demonstrated | Integration with BCG local, county or state plans/assessment is well developed, documented and demonstrated |  |
| **Part 3: GOALS, OUTCOMES and ACTIVITIES (Total Section 1‐15 Points)** |
| ***3A. Goals, Outcomes and Activities: Do project goals, outcomes and activities align with/advance the goals of the Brandywine Creek Greenway?*** *(15 points)* |
| **1 to 5** | **6 to 10** | **11 to 15** |  |
| Project does not address/advance the goals of the Brandywine Creek Greenway | Project addresses one or more of the goals of the Brandywine Creek Greenway and is adequately explained | Project fulfills and actively advances one or more of the goals of the Brandywine Creek Greenway and is explained thoroughly |  |
| **Part 4: BUDGET (Total Section 2‐10 Points)**  |
| ***4A. Proposed Budget (attachment to application): Does budget adequately support goals, costs, and activities of the project?*** *(5 points)* |
| **1** | **3** | **5** |  |
| Budget does not adequately support the project | Budget is adequate | Budget is well developed and adequate to support the project |  |
| ***4B. Local Match: Is local match secured and documented?*** *(5 points)* |
| **1** | **3** | **5** |  |
| Required match not secured or documented  | Required match amount adequate and secured  | All required and additional match secured and well documented |  |
| **TOTAL POSSIBLE SCORE=50** |  | **TOTAL SCORE=** |  |

**Additional Notes or Comments:**